

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/796,934 03/09/2004 388-1001 3281 Andreas Sausner EXAMINER 2574 7590 02/03/2006 JENNER & BLOCK, LLP HONG, JOHN C ONE IBM PLAZA PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** CHICAGO, IL 60611 3726

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/796,934	SAUSNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John C. Hong	3726
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	ctober 200 <u>5</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13 is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/796,934 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-9 in the reply filed on 10/31/05 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Ikeda et al. (U.S. Patent 5940952) and FR2817953.

AAPA as found on page 1, lines 14-17 of the specification, discloses a method for making a metal tube sheathed with an electrically non-conducting plastic layer which is used in a motor vehicle for transporting liquid or gaseous media, especially fuels, and which is adapted to be connected to a conductive portion of the motor vehicle and utilizing heat shrinkable sleeve made of electrically conductive plastic or plastic made conductive by additives (page 5, lines 1-3).

AAPA fails to teach the steps of: removing the plastic layer of the metal tube at an area of connection; providing a conductive covering section which covers the area of connection on all sides or more than covers the area of connection on all sides, pressing the conductive covering onto the metal tube at least at its ends under radial pressure applied along at

Application/Control Number: 10/796,934

Art Unit: 3726

least part of the circumference without any gaps; the covering section consists of a heat-shrinkable sleeve made of electrically conductive plastic; the heat-shrinkable sleeve is shrunk onto the metal tube by heat treatment; and the heat-shrinkable sleeve is provided with an electrically conductive adhesive coating on the inside.

Ikeda et al. teach the steps of removing the plastic layer of the metal tube at an area of connection; providing a covering section which covers the area of connection on all sides or more than covers the area of connection on all sides, pressing the conductive covering onto the metal tube at least at its ends under radial pressure applied along at least part of the circumference without any gaps (Fig.10; claim 1); the covering section consists of a heat-shrinkable sleeve made of plastic (col. 6,lines 64); the heat-shrinkable sleeve is shrunk onto the metal tube by heat treatment (Fig.1-3,7,8); and the heat-shrinkable sleeve is provided with an electrically conductive adhesive coating (6) on the inside (Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the steps of removing the plastic layer of the metal tube at an area of connection; providing a covering section which covers the area of connection on all sides or more than covers the area of connection on all sides, pressing the conductive covering onto the metal tube at least at its ends under radial pressure applied along at least part of the circumference without any gaps the covering section consists of a heat-shrinkable sleeve made of electrically conductive plastic; the heat-shrinkable sleeve is shrunk onto the metal tube by heat treatment; and the heat-shrinkable sleeve is provided with an electrically conductive adhesive coating on the inside, as taught by Ikeda et al. on the method of AAPA so as to apply corrosion-protective coating to a joint effectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,934 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

Regarding Claim(s) 3-5, the method of utilizing covering section consists of metal crimp sleeve consists of aluminum or stainless steel press on tube mechanically is well known in the art and It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize such covering on the method of AAPA/Ikeda et al. so as to apply corrosion-protective coating to a joint effectively.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Hong whose telephone number is 571-272-4529. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(07:00-16:30)First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/796,934

Art Unit: 3726

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John C. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

jh January 30, 2006